

Dear Sir:

Sinclair Broadcasting's blatant attempt to influence the election using the public airwaves is not only an insult to the concept of a balanced media, AND an obvious dodge of campaign contribution regulations, it is ROBBERY.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. HOW is the public interest served by a one-sided, deeply biased opinion piece on a national candidate?

This show is merely a CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION to Mr. Bush, and possibly a violation of election law.

while Sinclair executives have the right to freedom of expression, and the right to support any candidate they wish, they do not have the right to steal public property, or to override the similiar rights of the public and their employees.

We need news from our own communities, and discussions of national issues that matter, not character assasination posing as documentary!

Sinclair owns their network of television stations, but not the public's airwaves. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them.

And bring back the Fairness Doctrine!

Thank you,
Heather Yukon